TABLE VI. Values of W in different gas mixtures.

	$H_2-N_2$	$H_2$ -He- $N_2$	
H <sub>2</sub> partial pressure	1400 psi	900 psi	
N <sub>2</sub> partial pressure	30  psi	10 psi	
He partial pressure		400  psi	
Two $\gamma$ events	57	28	
W	$0.97 \pm 0.15$	$0.50 \pm 0.23$	

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

and to Mrs. Anna McDowell who painstakingly drew The experiment could not have been done without the continual assistance of the entire Nevis staff. We the figures.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 136, NUMBER 5B

7 DECEMBER 1964

# Determination of the $\tau^+$ Branching Ratio<sup>\*</sup>

ANDREW CALLAHAN, ROBERT MARCH, AND ROBERT STARK Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin (Received 6 July 1964)

The branching ratio for the  $\tau^+$  decay mode  $(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$  has been obtained from 2332  $\tau^+$  decays in a bubble chamber containing  $C_3F_8$ . We find that  $(5.54\pm0.12)\%$  of all  $K^+$  decay by this mode. Combining this result with four previous measurements gives a weighted "world mean" of  $(5.46 \pm 0.09)\%$ .

=

## INTRODUCTION

HE  $\tau$  decay mode of charged K mesons  $(K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm})$  $+\pi^{+}+\pi^{-}$ ) is easily recognized in most visual detectors. For this reason its branching ratio is widely used as a calibration of total K flux in measurements of cross sections and other K-decay mode branching ratios. Because of unresolved discrepancies in previous measurements<sup>1-5</sup> of the  $\tau^+$  branching ratio, we have undertaken a new measurement of substantially higher statistical accuracy.

The measurement was performed with  $K^+$  in a separated beam from the bevatron, brought to rest in the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory 30-in. heavy-liquid bubble chamber. The chamber contained  $C_3F_8$  at a density of 1.22 g/cm<sup>2</sup>, radiation length 28 cm. In this liquid, no  $\tau^+$  decay secondary from a  $K^+$  at rest has a range of over 8.8 cm, and at least two secondaries stop in the chamber in every  $\tau^+$  decay.

#### SCANNING

gratefully acknowledge the special contributions of R. Barbee who assembled the spark chambers and gave technical help throughout the experiment, of I. Shill and L. Todor who assisted with mechanical design, and of J. Reilly and S. Stein who constructed the equipment. The electronics, designed by W. LeCroy, gave trouble-free performance. P. Nemethy kindly assisted us during the extended operation of the experiment. Finally, we are particularly grateful to Miss Ann

Therrien and A. Kenny who carefully scanned the film,

The scanning was done by the three authors. An along-the-track scan was used, following each K from where it entered a chosen fiducial volume until it either decayed or left the volume. Events were classified as to whether they decayed in flight or at rest as determined by visual analysis of the  $K^+$  ionization. Cases where the  $K^+$  had undergone a nuclear interaction (projected angle of scatter greater than 15°) before decay were recorded separately. These categories were chosen as a check on the result, in anticipation of difficulties due to  $\pi$  contamination of the beam; they are combined in the final quoted rate. The results are shown in Table I.

It is necessary to include both decays at rest and in flight in the sample of data because  $\tau$  decays in flight are usually kinematically obvious, while only ionization information is available for most of the other

TABLE I. Results of scanning.

$\tau$ decay	S	Other $K^+$ d	lecays
Rest Flight Interactionª	$     \begin{array}{r}       1765 \\       195 \\       372 \\       \overline{2332}     \end{array} $	Rest Flight Interaction <sup>a</sup> Ambiguous	30 818 2274 5982 179 39 253

\* K undergoes nuclear scattering before stopping.

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Work supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Contract No. AT(11-1)-881.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. W. Birge, D. H. Perkins, J. R. Peterson, D. H. Stork, and M. N. Whitehead, Nuovo Cimento 4, 834 (1956).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> G. Alexander, R. H. W. Johnston, and C. O'Ceallaigh, Nuovo Cimento 6, 478 (1957).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>S. Taylor, G. Harris, J. Orear, J. Lee, and P. Baumel, Phys. Rev. 114, 359 (1959).

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> B. P. Roe, D. Sinclair, J. L. Brown, D. A. Glaser, J. A. Kadyk, and G. H. Trilling, Phys. Rev. Letters 7, 346 (1961).
 <sup>5</sup> F. S. Shaklee, thesis, University of Michigan Technical Report 4938-2-T, 1964 (unpublished).

modes. It may be noted from the data that a larger fraction of  $\tau$  decays were classified as "in flight" than was the case for the other modes; the  $\tau$  figure more nearly represents the true probability of decay in flight.

The  $\tau$  data in Table I includes the results of two additional area scans for  $\tau$ 's alone. This has brought the final scanning efficiency to essentially 100%. About  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the film was rescanned to evaluate our scanning efficiency for other  $K^+$  decays. This proved to be  $(98.5\pm0.5)\%$ .

The ambiguous category includes events which could be either "rest" or "interaction" events. They are mostly decays with short secondaries indistinguishable from a K scattering followed by  $K_{e3}$  decay at rest. The distinction need not be made in our final analysis, as all categories are combined.

The principal background in this experiment comes from the pion contamination of the beam, which is between 1 and 5%. The muon contamination is of no significance, because most muons either cross the fiducial volume without interacting, or decay at rest, in which case they are easily recognized both by ionization and by curvature in the last few centimeters of track. Pions which undergo nuclear scattering in the fiducial volume can be mistaken for  $K^+$ decays in flight. Most of these, however, are eliminated by comparing the ionization of the primary and secondary tracks. All  $K^+$  entering fiducial volume have at least 1.5 times minimum ionization, and a  $K^+$  decay secondary that leaves the chamber must be minimum ionizing at its origin. Approximately 200 events listed in Table I (0.5% of our sample) could not be unambiguously interpreted by these criteria. We have arbitrarily assigned half of these as decays in flight. The uncertainty introduced by this assignment is negligible in the final result. A more reasonable estimate could be made if the pion contaminations could be measured more accurately.

The number of K decays in flight where the angle between the primary and secondary is too small to see should be less than 0.15%, assuming a 7° projected angle cutoff. Since we feel this criterion is conservative, we have not corrected for it.

TABLE II. Comparison with previous measurements.

	Branching ratio (%)
Birge et al. (Ref. 1)	$5.56 \pm 0.41$
Alexander et al. (Ref. 2)	$6.77 \pm 0.43$
Taylor et al. (Ref. 3)	$5.2 \pm 0.3$
Roe et al. (Ref. 4)	$5.7 \pm 0.3$
Shaklee (Ref. 5)	$5.13 \pm 0.23$
This experiment	$5.54 \pm 0.12$
Weighted mean (Ref. 2 excluded)	$5.46 {\pm} 0.09$

#### RESULTS

Adding to the number of  $K^+$  decays the 1.5% for scanning efficiency, the number of  $\tau$ 's, and subtracting 100 ambiguous in-flight events, we obtain: No. of  $K^+$  decays=39 253+(589±196)+2332-(100±50) =42 074±246. The branching ratio is therefore

$$\frac{\text{No. of } \tau'\text{s}}{\text{No. of } K^+ \text{ decays}} = \frac{2332 \pm 46.9}{42.074 \pm 246} = 0.0554 \pm 0.0012.$$

Table II compares this result to those of some previous experiments.

#### DISCUSSION

It is clear from Table II that it is possible to reconcile all existing measurements, except that of Ref. 2, on statistical grounds alone. The weighted mean excluding Ref. 2 is  $(5.46\pm0.09)\%$ . The authors feel that this probably represents the best value of the branching ratio.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Wilson M. Powell, Robert Birge, and Robert Ely for the use of the bubble chamber, and to E. Lofgren and the Bevatron staff for excellent machine performance during the run. Ugo Camerini and W. F. Fry made many material contributions to the progress of the measurement, and George Kalmus made several valuable suggestions.